



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,739	09/673,739 10/20/2000		Thomas Valentine McCarthy	1377-156P	3757
2292	7590	12/23/2005	EXAMINER		
		KOLASCH & BII	TUNG, JOYCE		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1637	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/673,739	MCCARTHY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joyce Tung	1637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgonial apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Section 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 20 Section 20 S	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/673,739 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's response filed 9/30/2005 to the Office action has been entered. Claims 1-21 and 23 are pending.

- 1. The rejection of claims 1-21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn because of the amendment.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn because of the amendment.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Dianov et al. (Molecular and Cellular Biology, 1992, Vol. 12(4), pg. 1605-1612) is withdrawn because of the amendment.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS NECESSETATED BY THE AMENDMENT Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claims 1-21 and 23 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "the sequence of the extendible fragment is determined by the sequence of the template nucleic acid". Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,739

Art Unit: 1637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dianov et al. (Molecular and Cellular Biology, 1992, Vol. 12(4), pg. 1605-1612) in view of McCarthy et al. (5,952,176, issued September 14, 1999).

Dianov et al. disclose that the extent and location of DNA repair synthesis in a double stranded oligonucleotide containing a single dUMP residue have been determined in which the repair pathway of a dUMP residue in DNA involves uracil- DNA glycosylase and incision of the phosphodiester bond 5' to AP site by an AP endonuclease and baseless sugar-phosphate residue could be excised by a dRpase or a 5'-3'exonuclease to leave a hydroxyl group at the 3' terminus (See pg. 1606, fig. 1) and then the polymerase step occur either after or before the excision step.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,739

Art Unit: 1637

The excision step is catalyzed usually by a DNA deoxyribophosphodiesterase (See pg. 1605, the Abstract).

Dianov et al. do not disclose that the modified base is introduced by enzyme extension of the molecule on a template nucleic acid.

McCarthy et al. disclose a novel process for the detection of known mutation and polymorphisms in DNA. The process involves amplification, which incorporates modified precursor nucleotides into the amplified products. The amplified products are one or more glycosylase substrate (See column 5, lines 7-9 and column 5, lines 49-60).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by applying enzymatic extension of the DNA molecule on a template nucleic acid to introduce a modified base as taught by McCarthy et al. because the method of McCarthy can be used to detect multiple known mutations by using a single enzyme and a single process (See column 5, lines 18-20). It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to apply enzymatic extension of the DNA molecule on a template nucleic acid to introduce a modified base for characterizing nucleic aid sequence.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/673,739

Art Unit: 1637

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Summary

9. No claims are allowable.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (571) 272-0790. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571 272-0782. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joyce Tung

December 14, 2005

Kentla Whis

Page 5

12/20/05